

KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Present: **Shri. T. K. Jose, Chairman**

Adv. A. J. Wilson Member (Law)

OP No. 10/2025

In the matter of Petition seeking orders for Execution/Enforcement/Implementation of Order dated 29.12.2023 passed by the Commission in RP No.03/2023 In (OP No.05/2021)

Petitioner The CMD, Kerala State Electricity Board Limited,
Vydhyuthi Bhavanam, Pattom, Thiruvananthapuram

Respondents 1. Jhabua Power Limited
Unit No.307, Third Floor, ABW Tower, M.G Road,
Gurugram, Haryana- 122 002

2. Jindal India Thermal Power Limited
Plot No.2, Pocket-C, Second Floor,
Nelson Mandela Road,
Vasanth Kunj, New Delhi-110 070

3. Jindal Power Limited,
Jinhal Centre,
12, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066

Date of hearing 12.03.2025 11 AM

Daily Order dated 12.03.2025

1. The KSEBL filed this Execution Petition dated 29.01.2024 before this Commission on 30.01.2024 seeking orders for execution/implementation of Order dated 29.12.2023 passed by the Commission in RP No. 3/2023 in OP No. 05/2021 seeking the following reliefs:

(1) Direct the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 herein to immediately and forthwith comply with the order dt.29.12.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Commission, by declaring the availability for resuming the scheduling of power by SLDC Kerala in terms of respective PSAs between the respondent and the petitioner herein, failing which action under section 142 and 146 of the 2003 Act be

- initiated against the respondent Nos.1 and 2 and the concerned officials of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 be punished in accordance with law;*
- (2) *Direct the respondent Nos.1 and 2 shall be restrained from selling to any third party, power to the extent of the contracted capacity to be supplied by the respective Respondent to the Petitioner herein, in terms of the respective PSAs;*
- (3) *Pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Commission may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.*
2. The Commission posted the case for hearing on 12.03.2025 at 11 AM and issued statutory notices to all parties including the petitioner and respondents.
3. When the case came up for hearing, Adv. Prabhas Bajaj appeared on behalf of the petitioner KSEBL. Adv. Mathrugupta Mishra and Adv. Biju Mattam appeared on behalf of the Respondent 2 and 3. The Counsel for the petitioner submitted before the Commission that the Petitioner is not pressing the OP No. 10/2025 in view of the subsequent developments. The counsels for the respondents appeared in the hearing contended that copy of the petition is not received by them. However, considering the submission of the respondent counsels, the Commission has directed the Receiving Officer to serve copy of the Execution petition filed by KSEBL to the respondent's counsels urgently. Simultaneously, the Commission has also directed the petitioner to serve copies of the Execution Petitions to the counsels of the respondents (by digital copy also).
4. Hence, the Commission hereby direct the petitioner KSEBL to produce the written submission before the Commission in support of their oral submission. The Receiving Officer is also directed to serve copies of the petition to all the respondents by email.

Sd/-

**T. K. Jose
Chairman**

sd/-

**Adv. A. J. Wilson
Member (Law)**

Approved for issue
Sd/-

**C R Satheesh Chandran
Secretary**